IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GOPALA KRISHNA TAMADA

WRIT PETITION NO : 23000 of 1994

Between:

1 Indukuri Satyanarayana Raju, S/o. Atchutarama Raju, age 44 yrs,
2 Penmetsa Ramakrishnam Raju, S/o. Ramabhadri Raju, age 36 yrs,
3 Mudunuri Panduranga Raju, S/o. Rama Raju, age 37 yrs,
4 Pericharla Venkata Rama Raju, S/o. Venkata Rama Raju, age 36 yrs,
5 Penmetsa Durgavathi, W/o. Tirumala Raju, age 40 yrs,
6 Chitrada Prakasam, S/o. Nuka Raju, age 44 yrs,
7 Bhupathiraju Ramachandra Raju, S/o. Subba Raju, age 49 yrs,
8 Chennemsetty Gowrinadha Rao, S/o. Janardhana Rao, age 46 yrs,
(All are Lecturers in D.N.R. College, Bhimavaram, and all are residents of

Bhimavaram, West Godavari District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 Commissioner of Collegeate Education, Andhra Pradesh,
Hyderabad.
2 D.N.R. College, Bhimavaram, West Godavari District,
rep. by its President and Correspondent.
3 Regional Joint Director of Higher Education, Rajahmundry,
East Godavari District.
4 J.Ranga Rao, Lecturer in History (2nd shift),
D.N.R. College, Bhimavaram, R/o. Bhimavaram,
West Godavari District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more in the nature of mandamus declaring the action of the Respondent No.1 in issuing his proceedings in Rc.No.3075/PC1-1/93, dated 19.12.1994 permitting the management of the 2nd Respondent College to take necessary action for evolving a common seniority list on the basis of the Governing

Body Resolution dated 06.06.1993 as illegal and void after declaring the Resolution of the Governing Body of the 2nd Respondent College dated 06.06.1993 as illegal and consequentially to direct the Respondents to consider the teachers appointed to the Day College as a separate unit for the purpose of seniority of the teachers in the college.

For the Petitioner : Sri M.R.K. Chowdhary, Advocate,

For the Respondents 1 to 3: G.P for Higher Education,

For the Respondent No.4: Smt. V. Lakshmi Devi, Advocate

The court made the following:

ORAL ORDER

A representation is made by Sri M.R.K. Chowdhary, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners that this writ petition does not survive and, as such, the same is dismissed as infructuous.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

SD/-P.V.RADHA KRISHNA RAO

ASST. REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

TO

1. The Commissioner of Collegiate Education, A.P. Hyderabad,
2. The Regional Joint Director of Higher Education, Rajahmundry, East Godavari District.
3. 2 C.Cs to Govt. Pleader for Higher Education, High Court Buldgs, Hyderabad (OUT)
4. 2 C.D copies

B.R.D.

HIGH COURT

DT.19.8.2004

ORDER

W.P.No.23000/1994

DISMISSING THE W.P.

WITHOUT COSTS.